

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

DM

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

| | APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE | | | FIRST NAMED INVI | NTOR | | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|---|--|------------|-------------|--------------------------|----------|--------------|---------------------|
| | 08/912,95 | 08/14/ | '97 I | CECH | | Т | 07681.0006 |
| Γ | | | HM22/0227 7 | | EXAMINER | | |
| | GERON CORPORATION | | | 1 11 1am day / 12 am / 2 | | ANDRES, J | |
| | 230 CONSTITUTON DRIVE MENLO PARK CA 94025 | | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | MENEO PAR | K CA 94025 |) | | | 1646 | . 19 |
| | | | | | | DATE MAILED: | 02/27/01 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

| · | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | 08/912,951 | CECH ET AL. | | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | | |
| | Janet L Andres | 1646 | | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | 36 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | <u></u> . | | | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ Th | is action is non-final. | | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>46-81</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>46-57 and 60-70</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | | |
| 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>58,59 and 71-81</u> is/are objected to. | 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>58,59 and 71-81</u> is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or | r election requirement. | | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected t | 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved. | | | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). | | | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority document | | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(e). | | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | · | | | | | | | |
| 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | · = | ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s). | | | | | | |
| 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 20) Other: | | | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 08/912,951 Page 2

Art Unit: 1646

DETAILED ACTION

1. The Group and/or Art Unit location of your application in the PTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Group Art Unit 1646.

2. Claims 46-81 are pending in this application. Upon further consideration, the claims in this application are not all found to be allowable as stated in the office action of paper no. 18, November 30, 1999, for the following reasons:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 46-57 and 61-66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for full length hTRT, does not reasonably provide enablement for fragments and subsequences thereof as broadly claimed. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. Applicant has described the polynucleotide sequence of SEQ ID NO: 1 and presented TRT motifs. However, the claims as written encompass all fragments and subsequences, and contain no defining functional limitations. Without limitations defining the functions of such fragments and subsequences, one of skill in the art would not know how they could be used and thus would also not know how to make them. The amino acid sequence of a polypeptide determines its structural and functional properties, and prediction of function is complex and well outside the realm of

Application/Control Number: 08/912,951

Art Unit: 1646

routine experimentation, because accurate predictions of a fragment's structure from mere sequence data are limited. Since information regarding the structural and functional requirements of the claimed fragments is lacking, it is unpredictable as to which fragments, if any, would be usable. Further, while recombinant techniques are available, it is not routine in the art to screen large numbers of fragments where the expectation of obtaining any function is unpredictable. Thus one of skill in the art would require additional guidance, such as information regarding the expected function of the claimed fragments. Without such guidance, not present in the instant specification, it would require undue experimentation by one of skill art to practice the invention as claimed.

5. Claim 60 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Applicants' referral to the deposit of pGRN121 on pages 198 and 199 of the specification and in claim 1 is an insufficient assurance that all of the conditions of 37 CFR sections 1.801 through 1.809 have been met. If the deposits were made under the provisions of the Budapest Treaty, filing of an affidavit or declaration by applicants, assignees or a statement by an attorney of record over his or her signature and registration number stating that the deposits have been accepted by an International Depository Authority under the provisions of the Budapest Treaty, that all restrictions upon public access to the deposits will be irrevocably removed upon the grant of a patent on this application and that the deposit will be replaced if viable samples cannot be dispensed by the depository is required. This requirement is necessary when deposits are made under the provisions of the Budapest Treaty as the Treaty leaves these specific matters to the

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 08/912,951

Art Unit: 1646

discretion of each State. Additionally, amendment of the specification to recite the date of the deposit, the complete name and address of the depository, and the accession number of the deposited cell line is required.

- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 67-70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 67-70 are indefinite because the claims as written do not require that the cell be transfected with hTRT, only that they express higher levels than a "naturally occurring" cell. Thus the higher levels of hTRT need not be the result of expression of a polynucleotide sequence encoding hTRT, and the claims would encompass any transfectant having a high level of hTRT.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. Claims 67, 69, and 70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Johnson et al., Mol. Cell. Biol. 1991, vol. 11, pages 1-11. Johnson et al. teaches transfection of SKOV-3 cells with p53; SKOV-3 cell express higher levels of telomerase than natural counterparts (see Counter et al., Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 1994, vol. 91, pages 2900-2904). The claims as written read on any recombinant cell that expresses higher levels of hTRT than a

Application/Control Number: 08/912,951

Art Unit: 1646

naturally occurring cell and do not require that the cell be transfected with hTRT. Thus any recombinant cancer cell, such as is taught by Johnson et al., would satisfy the requirements of the claims.

CLAIMS 58, 59, AND 71-81 ARE OBJECTED TO AS DEPENDING FROM REJECTED CLAIMS BUT ARE OTHERWISE IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. CLAIMS 46-57 AND 60-70 ARE REJECTED.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Janet Andres, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 305-0557. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler, Ph.D., can be reached at (703) 308-6564. The fax phone number for this group is (703) 305-3014 or (703) 308-4242.

Communications via internet mail regarding this application, other than those under U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [yvonne.eyler@uspto.gov].

All Internet email communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark Office on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Application/Control Number: 08/912,951

Art Unit: 1646

Page 6

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Janet Andres, Ph.D. February 15, 2001

YVONNE EYLER, PH.D SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600